	WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RI	ESIDUAL (ING <i>Î</i> LAND	(CCR) IN FILL	SPECII	ON REPORT
Date:_	11-29-23 Inspector_	ndW	<u></u>		_
Time:	7:25 Weather Conditions:	Hear		28	pown
	·	Yes	No		Notes
CCR)	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	84)			
1.		- 1	1		
	localized settlement observed on the	; -		1	_
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			1.	
	CCR7 .	:		[' '	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells	 			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			į	
	operations that represent a potential disruption			1	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?	·].			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or				
	within the general landfill operations that	<u> </u> -			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	=			
	the CCR management operations.	-			
				<u> </u>	·
CRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(<u>4</u>))			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional		i /	t .	
	information required			l	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		··		
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	1 1	•		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	 			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to				
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7.					
1.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				-
	landfill access roads?				
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			-	
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1		_
	corrective action measures below.	<u> </u>			
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		- 1		
9-	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no.				
	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.				
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting	-			
	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-			

O'Warra Connection of the		
Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection For	- 70 0	07
	α τ0 [—] σ	ختند حده

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL Weather Conditions: Yes Νo Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2... Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3_ Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill? 6. If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. 9_ Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11 Were the citizen complaints logged?

Additional Notes:	• •
	•
<u> </u>	
	3

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SKB LANSING LANDFILL

11-15-23 Inspector () ...

Tīme:		211-	CITS	<u> </u>	48	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Ye.	5	No		Not	ies
CCRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257	849					
l_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	cil					
	localized settlement observed on the	<u> </u>					
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	-			1.		
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells			 	1		
	containing CCR or within the general landful	.		,	X		
	operations that represent a potential disruption	a			ľ		
3.	to ongoing CCR management operations?						
ے۔	Were conditions observed within the cells or	i-					
	within the general landfill operations that	f					
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	f		1	1		
	the CCR management operations.						
	ıgitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CER §257.80(b)	(4))			·		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				/	······································	
	period? If answer is no, no additional			. /	•		
	information required						
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		_				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	ŀ		-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR						
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to					•	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not						
	susceptable to frigitive dust generation?						
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on						
	landfill access roads?			- 1			•
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		_				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe				-		
	corrective action measures below.			1		-	
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control					·	
	measures effective? If the answer is no,						
	describe recommended changes below.	_					
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen						
	complaints received during the reporting		1	- 1			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question		1				
LI.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		 				

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 xlsx

- WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB DANSING LANDFILL Inspector Weather Conditions: - Sunn Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? 3_ Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust 5. suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to firgitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads? 8. Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. Are current CCR fugitive dust control 9_ measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. 10_ Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question

Additional Notes:	. • •
•	
•	

Were the citizen complaints logged?

II.

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SKE ZANSING LANDFILL

	·	. [/ -	Τ,		7		
ر حالت. 		<u> -</u> -	Yes		Vo_	<u> </u>		Totes
1-	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257	-849						
1-							-	
	localized settlement observed on the	·			_			
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?	.		1 6		1		
2	Were conditions observed within the cells							
	containing CCR or within the general landfill							
	operations that represent a potential disruption					+		
	to ongoing CCR management operations?	_		1 .	/	1		
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or					 		
	within the general landfill operations that	į.						
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	=						
	the CCR management operations.	٠,		1	*/	1		
	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)	(4))				_		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting							
	period? If answer is no, no additional			1	1	<i>/</i> ·		
	information required	1						
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			 				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?			-				
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	 						
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to							
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				- 1			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				1			
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			····				
	landfill access roads?				}			-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the							
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe				- 1	-		
	corrective action measures below.				- }		-	
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				-			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1					
	describe recommended changes below.							
0_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen							
	complaints received during the reporting				-			
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question							
),		1		- 1			

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 x15x